emorandum

Grand Junction Area Office

DATE: September 7, 1984

WECT: STATE OF UTAH HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN MONTICELLO

TO: John H. Barry, Operational Safety Division, ID, Rm 229

Actuched is a letter received from Wilson G. Martin, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer for the State of Utah, concurring with the DOE position that a Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement is not required to complete the Monticello Vicinity Properties Project in Monticello, Utah. Mr. Martin states that there are no listed National Register sites in Monticello, and the project would be considered as no effect by 36 CFR 800 regulations.

I am of the opinion that this office has complied with the requirements of 36 CFR 800, and plan to take no further action concerning a Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement for the Monticello Vicinity Properties project. It you feel that additional actions are necessary or wish to discuss the project, please give me a call (FTS 322-9226).

Michael K. Tucker Project Engineer

l Attachment

cc: w/attachment

C. Clark - ID

R. E. Wood - ID

C. R. Nichols -ID

L. Anderson - State of Utah

7/Tucker/evj 7/1/84

crew 7 /84

7n. 3. 1. 2. 1. 2.



SCOTT M. MATHESON COVERNOR STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division of State History

(UTAH STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY)

MELVIN E SMITH DIRECTOR 300 RIO GRANDE SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84101-1182 TELEPHONE 301/533-5755

August 27, 1984

Michael K. Tucker Project Engineer Department of Energy Grand Junction Area Office Post Office Box 2567 Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

RE: Department of Energy Testing Uranium Tailings, Monticello, Utah

In Reply Refer to Case No. H297

Dear Mr. Tucker:

The Utah Preservation Office has received for consideration your letter of August 17, 1984, concerning proposed remedial action activities in Monticello, h. After review of the material provided, our office would concur with your Lermination that there are no listed National Register sites in Monticello. itself, and the project would be considered as no effect by 36 CFR 800 regulations. We would also concur that a programmatic memorandum of agreement, as outlined by 36 CFR 800, is not appropriate for this type of action.

If, in the removing of backfills, or remodeling of a property, there is a discovered effect of a structure that is fifty years of age or older, our office would be happy to assist in consultation. The Secretary of the Interior Guidelines, which we have enclosed, are considered as appropriate standards to follow for actions on older properties.

The above is provided on request as information or assistance. We make no regulatory requirement, since that responsibility rests with the federal agency official. If we can be of any assistance in expediting this matter, please feel free to contact our office. Contact Jim Dykman at 533-7039.

Sincerely.

Wilson G. Martin Deputy State Historic

Preservation Officer

J: irc:H297/0778V

Enclosure - Secretary of the Interior Guidelines